

# Inside Out Lies on Dr Cathar ❄️

**Mail**Online

www.dailymail.co.uk/health/article-2262131/He-deserves-cancer-Anger-spiritual- 'He deserves to have can

Home | News | U.S. | Sport | TV&Showbiz | Femail **Health** Science | Money | RightMinds  
Health Home | Health Directory | Health Boards | Diets | MyDish Recipe Finder

## 'He deserves to have cancer': Anger of spiritual healer exposed by BBC for charging £280 to disease sufferers for recommending cabbage diet as treatment

- Dr Cathar claims she is directed by her spiritual guide
- She says he needed to live 'pure' life in order to recover



Dr Cathar's campaign to clear her name has shown that Geiger is an impostor who never had cancer and who lied about it for money:

[http://www.cathar.org.uk/data/articles/What\\_was\\_Geiger\\_diagnosis.pdf](http://www.cathar.org.uk/data/articles/What_was_Geiger_diagnosis.pdf)

Geiger's cancer claims were based on his own hearsay, while brandishing a 20 years old x-ray no medical professional confirmed as showing cancer. In the response of 20<sup>th</sup> November 2014 to a complaint, a BBC Trust senior adviser confirmed that the BBC has not seen a proof of Geiger's alleged cancer. By December 2014 on Amazon, Geiger admitted to not having had cancer by changing his story from: "I'm currently writing a book ... of true inspirational stories from cancer survivors like myself..." in 2012, to: "Compiled by Chris Geiger, who himself endured two years of cancer treatments..."

He was subject to a medical error; he did not have the disease. He should disclose how, starting from a computer programmer 20 years ago in the south west of England, he became a hotel owner in a seedy part of the Philippines and a corporation owner part living in a billionaire quarter in Florida. Lying through his teeth, he collected donations for cancer charities. Revealed as a cheat, by October 2014, Geiger was excluded from several public appearances. By 2015, he was promoting sugar and sweets on Twitter, together with the announcement of a study which found that sugar causes breast cancer. In 2017, picked up by the Duchess of Cambridge personal biographer, Claudia Joseph, he was kicked out only from round 2 of the Great British Bake Off, instead of in round 1. The British Oncology Pharmacy Association presented him as their cherished asset in 2018.

Geiger had become an embarrassment to the cancer charities and other organisations that supported him. He should have been prosecuted:

[http://www.cathar.org.uk/data/articles/What\\_was\\_Geiger\\_diagnosis.pdf](http://www.cathar.org.uk/data/articles/What_was_Geiger_diagnosis.pdf)

Only by being diagnosed with cancer can he save their face. Geiger used the name of Chris Prior and his mailbox was operated via the BBC. The exchanged e-mails show that Dr Cathar's Spirit Guide exposed Geiger as exploiting the pain of others on the day Dr Cathar met him and she warned Geiger. Here are those e-mails:

The story that Geiger, an alleged cancer survivor, helped the BBC to generate about Dr Cathar did not contain a word of Truth.

The aim of the fabrication was to silence her while she demanded the investigation of the deaths of two vulnerable people who depended on her.

### Dr Cathar as a healer :

A world that has come to stand on little more than con, and media that are used to lying day in and day out, they can quite understandably not imagine that Dr Cathar way of life could be true, even though it presents itself documented since 2003. Dr Cathar stopped working with people after 2002.

The state of the body is a reflection of the person inside and Dr Cathar could only advise others, she could not live their lives. She can closely oversee the lives of sick and needy animals she adopts and it shows in the results. Animals respond with love to love and know no ulterior motives.

Dr Cathar teaches that everyone is the master of their fate and that God decides who does and who does not get cancer. For that too, she was attacked.

The BBC Inside Out film broadcast on 14.01.2013 insisted by implication, that cancer in society is the work and the responsibility of the society alone and of the healthcare it provides.

Dr Cathar will not disagree with that.

**BBC**

**NEWS**

## Spiritual healer advised 'cancer sufferer' to drink cabbage juice

A self-styled spiritual healer has been accused of making "dangerous" claims after advising a man to drink cabbage juice to help fight cancer.

## How the humble cabbage can stop cancers

🕒 15 August 2018 📰



GETTY IMAGES

Scientists say they have discovered cabbage can reduce the risk of bowel cancers.

**BBC**

We specialise  
in manufacturing  
**DISINFORMATION**

...on a scale you couldn't  
even begin to imagine.

We are the mouthpiece  
of your slave-masters.

#### REMINDER:

Don't forget to pay your £145 TV licence fee, to receive our live  
propaganda, 24 hours a day.

Above: BBC on cabbage in 2013 re Dr Cathar and in 2018, and inset a meme reflecting the contemporary image of the BBC.

The BBC film “exposing” Dr Cathar as a “charlatan” in relation to cancer was an attempt to eliminate her as a witness to two Slovak deaths she is campaigning to see investigated in the UK:

Producer Malcolm Prior, editor Dimitri Houtart, and Alastair McKee as the presenter, used a paid agent provocateur Chris Geiger to prey on Dr Cathar’s compassionate nature. They were not ashamed to dig 15 years back into any comments made anywhere on the internet, and massage words out of their context into the storyline for which they could not show proofs. They banked on the BBC viewers not being able, or not bothering to read Dr Cathar’s actual statements on Dr Cathar’s website, proving that their accusations were out of order. People who saw the film about Dr Cathar called it “a disgusting hatchet job”. In 2017 were those involved in the deaths of the two Slovak people already investigated, most were sacked and may face prosecution, but no redress is given to Dr Cathar. The abuse included high ranking officials of the Gloucester County Council. Complaints were made by Dr Cathar to the Department of Health and the police respectively, in 2009 – 2010. Dr Cathar received no official response from these, but she lost her job and the BBC made the fabrication about her in 2012. Criminal abuse by social workers was reported on in the local and national press in 2017, without any reference to Dr Cathar, e.g.:

<https://www.theguardian.com/society/2017/oct/04/gloucestershire-tory-council-failed-children>

**W**hen Ofsted rated Gloucestershire’s children’s services as “inadequate” in June, the report was phrased in such blistering terms that councillors in the Conservative-led local authority reacted with shock, bewilderment, and fury.

By claiming to be a desperate cancer patient, Chris Geiger sought a meeting with Dr Cathar during which he secretly filmed her with the sole intent of harming her. The footage was edited to make Dr Cathar look and sound ridiculous, while not leaving intact a single coherent sentence of what she said. They then presented this masterpiece of misinformation as a

“documentary” about the practice of a healer. Quotations published in the press taken from Dr Cathar website, most notably, that “no-one can heal another person’s cancer”, have revealed the film as an act of malice with no factual justification. Dr Cathar stopped working with the general public after 2002, ten years before this film was made. For his role in the film, Geiger got extensive advertising for his cancer business free, via the BBC, in most dailies and other publications in the UK and abroad, with articles up to one full page, worth thousands of pounds in advertising fees. But the BBC claimed he received no pay and supports him till this day. (Published November 2018.)





At her own expense, Dr Cathar uses her experience to encourage cancer sufferers to remain positive and to consider the wider context of this complex illness. See her page on Cancer on dhaxem.com. The society spat on her via the BBC. The cancer lobby which is worth billions just in Britain subsequently launched a campaign under the very appropriate slogan:

## **“Cancer, we are coming to get you!”**


It was appropriately successful. An Office for National Statistics study shows the incidence of liver cancer in England increased by 70% for males and 60% for females between 2003 and 2012. ..."Since 2003, there have been large increases in the number of registrations of liver, oral, uterine and kidney cancers, and malignant melanoma of the skin," the study authors wrote. Since the film was made, it was confirmed that no longer every third, but every second person and by 2030 everyone, will have the disease.

But stupid cancer is still not getting it.

<http://www.theguardian.com/society/2014/jun/20/liver-cancer-skin-melanoma-sharp-increase>

Looking at 28 types of cancer across 184 countries, IARC's GLOBOCAN 2012 database shows there were 14.1 million new cancer cases in 2012, compared with 12.7 million in 2008. <http://www.cancerresearchuk.org/about-us/cancer-news/news-report/global-cancer-cases-to-rise-to-19-million-a-year-by-2025>

In 2013, coinciding with the launch of his book entitled “The Cancer Survivors Club”, Geiger appeared to have been living in Delray. Delray Beach is known by the Eugene M. & Christine E. Lynn Cancer Institute, Boca Raton Regional Hospital, which Geiger intriguingly missed to mention in his comments. After being exposed as a con, he was kicked out of Delray and he relocated to Bristol, UK.




Geiger was sporting a great sun tan, even matching his book cover, in November 2012.

Geiger's cancer claim was almost certainly inspired by him having had sarcoidosis, a condition often initially confused with cancer, but unlike other such cases, Geiger decided to lie that he had cancer and survived. He did this for money.

---

About two and a half years or longer can pass from the day when the cancerous chain of reactions sets into motion inside the body, and the time, when the patient is diagnosed with cancer, by “latest scientific methods”. By that time the body reprogrammed itself into another mode, a mode producing tumours – but the “racers for life” who believe in no nonsense, continue expecting that a bottle, or a pill conjured up by a pharmaceutical genie will undo all of this in a week (or so).



Some even described cancer as an unfinished healing process which is caused by another serious problem in the body, and there may be some 200 different varieties of the disease. Expecting a general cure for all cancer is hardly realistic. Radiotherapy and chemotherapy are effective with some cancers, and desperately inefficient with many others. The only really effective cancer cure remains prevention, or early treatment of the actual triggers, before any tumours progress to spreading. Dr Cathar stated that the best prevention, as well as approach to healing cancer, is to live pure lives, in every sense, in body and in mind, and for that she was attacked and ridiculed. She was harmed for putting herself out for individuals admitting dishonesty.

The film main actors are:



A believing to be false claimant for help. His facial expression and the symbolism of colours and shapes in the background would be chilling to those who know something about these things. He laid a trap. Now he is showing who for. His name is Chris Geiger. He is promoted as the “Ambassador” of Hope for People with Cancer.



Reporter who revealed that the film makers are aware of Dr Cathar’s campaign to see the deaths of the Slovaks investigated, by showing the Change of Name Deed taken down from the relevant cathar.org.uk webpage! His name is Alastair McKee. He was sacked from the series in 2017.



Professor Chris Bunce, who presumably, considers chemo- therapy safe, but has cabbage juice for “very dangerous”, and the requirement to live pure lives apparently “scares” him. Dr Cathar suggested to Geiger that cabbage juice would beat his cancer. The film introduced Prof Bunce in his role as the Director of Research at Leukaemia & Lymphoma Research, now “Bloodwise”.

Prof Bunce is Reviewing Editor for PLoS One and a regular Reviewer of Papers for Blood, Cancer Research, Leukaemia, British Journal of Haematology and others.

According to the Express of 16.03.2103 the scientists discovered that in fact, a diabetic pill Metformin synthesised in 1920 beats cancer. And, according to HHS.gov (US) HealthBeat (March 6, 2013) testing beats cancer, to name just a couple of recently announced “official cancer beaters”. In 2012 Prof Bunce seemed to have put himself behind the idea of using the antidepressant TCP as a cancer treatment. ([http://www.icr.ac.uk/press/press\\_archive/press\\_releases\\_2012/22613.shtml](http://www.icr.ac.uk/press/press_archive/press_releases_2012/22613.shtml)).

Particularly the statement that “testing beats cancer” shows that the term “to beat” (e.g. cancer) means doing something positive in terms of a fight against, or prevention of something, and not more than that. But when Dr Cathar used the same term in relation to cabbage, which is a source of Vitamin C of low acidity (amongst other of its properties and in contrast to citrus fruit), to date not disputed by anyone else than apparently Professor Bunce,

to be “beating” cancer, the film makers and most notably Professor Bunce, implied that Dr Cathar was, in fact, claiming that cabbage is a cancer cure. – Clearly a malicious misinterpretation of the language with the view to bring about unjustified hate and condemnation of Dr Cathar. Is this what it means to give a “scientific” opinion, Professor Bunce? And is it the moral basis on which you also ...“review a large number of Charity and Research Council Grant applications”? (Birmingham University Website, November 2013). More on the contribution by the Professor:


[http://www.cathar.org.uk/data/articles/Commenting\\_in\\_the\\_name\\_of\\_Science.pdf](http://www.cathar.org.uk/data/articles/Commenting_in_the_name_of_Science.pdf)

Some testing methods were already identified as dangerous and others as “hardly more effective than a coins toss”. Read more: <http://healthland.time.com/2012/05/25/why-people-cling-to-cancer-screening-and-other-questionable-medical-interventions-even-when-they-cause-harm/#ixzz2Sy9Hx3Gh> (25.05.2012)



While treatment predominantly involves chemotherapy, numerous studies to date have shown that in the long run, chemotherapy facilitates the further spread of cancer, rather than suppressing it, but this is not advertised.

The studies suggest that the protein called WNT16B, which is produced by fibroblast cells near tumours, may spur cancer cells to grow and invade surrounding tissue. Read more in: “How Chemotherapy May Trigger Tumors’ Resistance” by Alexandra Sifferlin and also:



- Chemo 'Undermines Itself' Through Rogue Response, BBC News
- Chemotherapy Resistance in Cancer Treatment Tied to Nearby Proteins, CBS News

It is suggested that some 90% of cancer patients with metastatic breast, prostate, lung or colon cancers develop resistance to chemotherapy, and in a study published in the journal Nature Medicine, researchers report that an excess of the protein WNT16B, may actually spur cancer cells to grow and invade surrounding tissue.

In 2015 Researchers established that certain types of chemotherapy are likely to harm the brain of breast cancer patients.

Left is a clip from a newspaper published Monday June 3rd 2013. In one of his blogs Geiger wrote: “I think Michael Douglas and I may have been twin brothers in a previous life, as we have too much in common for it to be a coincidence.”

Antidepressants, drugs for diabetics, testing methods, to name just a few, it all is “safe and good” for cancer patients, it seems; only cabbage is very dangerous, according to Professor Bunce.

“Cabbage ... turns out to be an especially good source of sinigrin. Sinigrin is one of the cabbage glucosinolates





that has received special attention in cancer prevention research. The sinigrin in cabbage can be converted into allyl-isothiocyanate, or AITC. This isothiocyanate compound has shown unique cancer preventive properties with respect to bladder cancer, colon cancer, and prostate cancer.”

<http://www.whfoods.com/genpage.php?tname=foodspice&dbid=19>

The diet that Dr Cathar suggested to Geiger in December 2012 until the end of January 2013, i.e. for one month, and this was stipulated in large font at the top of the sheet, was a detox diet. Geiger appeared to have red blotches on his face and his face was perspiring. These observations led Dr Cathar to believe that he may be genuinely and possibly seriously unwell.



The combination of food she gave him for one month was not termed a “cancer diet”, because there is no such thing. When commenting, not only did Prof Bunce withhold the fact that the food was suggested for only a month, but the film incorrectly led the viewer to believe that Dr Cathar gave this as a cancer diet (for an unlimited time), which is ridiculous. Geiger further withheld the fact that the diet was forwarded as an attachment to an email, in which it was suggested to dilute the juice (one litre of it in total) to taste and stipulated to eat only such food and only so much of it, as desired and enjoyed. In Dr Cathar’s opinion, the whole issue of the diet was maliciously twisted to the point of an outright fabrication. What type of a person is capable of this degree of malice, be it for money, towards someone who never harmed them?

Professor Bunce further condemned Dr Cathar for suggesting to Geiger that he will need to take high doses of calcium. This too, the professor called “dangerous” – without explaining why it should be so. Calcium healed cancer in Dr Cathar’s dog. Nature is showing that an efficient immune system will encapsulate dangerous biological matter inside a body to protect it, with the help of calcification. That way a woman survived 46 years of a pregnancy, while her dead baby would otherwise have killed her within a short period of time. There are films documenting the case:



The Inside Out BBC West film was shown 14.01.2013, and was reported on in local, national and international media. The makers of this film misrepresented many facts, while playing on the lowest of human emotions, on hate and fear, with the apparent view to coax violence minded individuals into victimising, attacking and possibly killing Dr Cathar. The broadcast contained irrelevant information enabling any hate stirred up by the film towards Dr Cathar to turn not just personal, but racial/ethnic.

Complaints were made to the BBC regarding the making of the film. The BBC has denied any of its possible fault in the matter. The fact, that the BBC failed to withdraw the claims and issue an apology suggests, that the BBC may encourage racial/ethnic hate against people of Slovakia and that the BBC is happy to broadcast lies.

## More on the film:

On the 2<sup>nd</sup> January 2013 in the morning Malcolm Prior from the BBC west phoned Dr Cathar to tell her that Inside Out are producing a film about her illegally dishing out cancer treatments at £280 a session. Considering that Dr Cathar stopped earning her livelihood as a healer in 2003 and since 2008 has not worked as a healer with people at all, only with her own and wild animals, the news left her in a shock. Not to say that she has never charged anyone £280 for a treatment! But a recent experience suddenly fell into place. Out of the blue, in December 2012 a man claiming to have recurred cancer phoned her to tell her how “very desperate” he was. Albeit not keen, she agreed to meet him and his wife, in the hope that perhaps she may be able to ease their trauma and possibly suggest a suitable diet along the method she works with. As a healer, Dr Cathar had unprecedented results in some cases since 1996, and this is documented, including in the “official” press (scroll to page 17).



Above, Chris Geiger with allegedly Kirsty Hemming, who accompanied him during his visit. They allege that this shot was taken as they were heading off to Dr Cathar. Geiger published the Nazi anti-Slovak comment below on Twitter:



Geiger, a dull looking middle aged man, arrived to the meeting with his most unlikely sparkling “wife” Kirsty Hemming (then, an employee of the BBC) who denied having anything to do with the media when specifically asked. This is not a question that Dr Cathar would think of greeting people with, but in this case Dr Cathar sensed camera action rolling as soon as they appeared. Geiger’s energies felt uncomfortable and the black jacket they made him to look wearing was, in reality, white and dirty, with green stripes. How long did it take to wash the dirt from Geiger’s jacket black?

It became obvious to Dr Cathar that Geiger is not the type of a person for her to work with, and she told him that, hence also refusing to take any money off him. (He, however, left the



beforehand agreed £60 for a two hour consultation.) Dr Cathar was grateful for the article by John Bingham in the Daily Telegraph, because it at least corrected some of the claims inaccurately put forward by Geiger - most notably, that she would have charged him additional £90 for the diet. Instead, she gave him the opportunity to pay. Dr Cathar always adhered to the principle that clients paid only when happy, and only so much as they found her contribution to be worth. Poor people were not asked to pay, and they were not discriminated. Where does Geiger's need to lie start and where does it end?

## AstraZeneca announces £330m lab

ASTRAZENECA has announced plans for a £330million research centre that it says will attract some of the world's best scientists and clinicians to Britain. The pharmaceutical giant's Cambridge Biomedical Campus will house about 2,000 staff when it opens in 2016. It will focus on cancer research but include a range of other specialities. AstraZeneca chief executive Pascal Soriot said it 'means our people will be able to rub shoulders with some of the world's best scientists and clinicians carrying out some of the world's leading research'.

Geiger lied to Dr Cathar stating that he has non-Hodgkin's lymphoma recurring, but Dr Cathar does not work on diagnoses alleged by anyone, rightly or wrongly, she works on advice by her Spirit Guide who sees every molecule in the body and can state as accurately as no-one else what the body needs at each particular point. In the last ten years this enabled her to eliminate cancer in her dogs (see page 12).

The malicious lies laced into the BBC story about Dr Cathar crystallised in subsequent reports and comments: "After Geiger exposed her to the public, she was forced to take down her website, which advertises her as a real doctor."

(<http://elitedaily.com/news/world/spiritual-healer-exposed-charging-374-cancer-patients/> - this link was checked 02.09.13.)

Both websites referred to, dhaxem.com and cathar.org.uk, gave and continue giving a correct account of Dr Cathar's background and qualifications, none leads anyone to believe that she would be a medical doctor, with the dhaxem.com account unchanged since put up in 2000 (except for the last statement, and the name, when Dr Cathar felt forced to change her name from Dagmar to Corascendea following an identity theft also connected to the deaths of the two people she campaigned to see investigated). While the makers of the film have not actually stated that Dr Cathar lied to anyone about being an MD (for which she would not



have had a reason), they unequivocally misconstrued the flow of information in such a way, that the viewer, who does not bother to check, believes that to have been the case.

During the meeting Geiger secretly filmed Dr Cathar and the editor later cut and twisted the footage to the point that not a single sentence of what Dr

Cathar had said remained intact and she was made to look and sound insensitive, and stupid.

They went so far as stating that during her Dhaxem treatment a patient had died.



When Dr Cathar eventually saw the film (she does not have a tv since 1996) it became clear to her, that the aim of such a horrendous lie wrapped in so many deceptions and Halloween effects, could only have been to possibly see an angry mob attacking her and possibly killing her. The film took care to show detailed shots of the door access, the windows, and all sides of her home. The real aim may have been to eliminate her as a campaigner for the investigation of the deaths of two Slovak people, the birth of a disabled child booked for abortion, and the use of substantial amounts of public money allocated for Slovak services which were either not delivered, or were delivered at an apparent unacceptable level. You may read on this here:

[http://www.cathar.org.uk/data/articles/Public\\_Part\\_1.pdf](http://www.cathar.org.uk/data/articles/Public_Part_1.pdf)

Dr Cathar believes that the film was made as an enticement to her murder, as part of an attempt to halt (the need for) an investigation into these matters. She believes that the actions of the makers of this film on behalf of the BBC were illegal, because they created by false pretence and by provocation an artificial story, while distorting and misrepresenting the facts, and they incorrectly presented that as a documentary.

But Dr Cathar is grateful to Geiger, Kirsty, and the program producers for healing her of misplaced compassion towards people on whom it is wasted.

● Scroll to page 17 for a copy of press report on Dr Cathar as a healer in 2000. ●

To expect that a society in which this is possible would care about justice would be naïve.  
By 2017, Justice took place by action of God who the BBC tried to spit on and ridicule:

[http://www.cathar.org.uk/data/articles/Four\\_years\\_on.pdf](http://www.cathar.org.uk/data/articles/Four_years_on.pdf)

These were the headlines Dr Cathar issued shortly after the broadcast:



When it comes to vivisection, animals must be used to draw conclusions on cancer for humans. When you have a documented case of a dog healed of cancer by a method called “Dhaxem”, that would be dangerous for people!

How do the film makers and the vivisection lobby know? And how can this statement be made in a country, that became the vivisection capital of the world, apparently in the name of science, and

**where protesters against the use of animals for the “advancement of knowledge” get stiffer punishment than murderers?**

Sad to see that a country that once was the birthplace of the industrial revolution now depends with its revenues on vivisection.

As for cutting out anything that made sense, and bringing in whatever could be used against, for “scary” effects, and lies, to get the audience to watch the show, but not to follow the contents, 10 out of 10 to Inside Out.

A programme made especially for dummies obediently opening their heads to have ideas poured in via bullying presenters and Halloween effects on tv. Oh, how the producers and actors of these “news” and “documentaries” must despise their viewers! Do these people at all respect themselves, or just money?

People able to overlook the tricks and effects, have picked up what the man said, during the actual BROADCAST on 14.01.2013, scornfully as he could, so that the viewer dismisses the contents without as much as trying to think:

**“... only because she healed her dog” (of cancer).**

So it is official and confirmed – Dr Cathar cured cancer in a dog! And that is dangerous indeed, but only to the cancer industry profits, and to the vivisection lobby!

**Dr Cathar has not wasted time, and by the 16<sup>th</sup> January 2013 alerted antivivisection organisations of this historic statement by the BBC.**



Whilst you have an opportunity to comment on a unique method of cancer healing, all you have to say is that cabbage is dangerous? In the name of “science”, Prof Bunce?

**The film was subsequently edited, and it appeared in a modified form, now with the relevant part deleted, on the BBC iplayer!!!**


**Can you still stomach this level of journalism in the name of the BBC?**

The real victims of this scam are genuine cancer patients.

**Unlike the lie in the tv programme broadcast, Dr Cathar does not know of a patient who would have died while they had Dhaxem treatment.**



But a woman Dr Cathar saw had, as an ongoing BUPA patient, cancer twice. After the first incident in 2004, and with Dr Cathar help, she initially changed her life and career to be happy. But she developed another cancer in 2008 in the other breast, identical to the first one. Under her husband's influence she then had conventional and hormonal treatment only, and she died in 2012.



## That was four years after Dr Cathar last saw her!

Therefore, the correct name of the treatment during which that patient died, dear BBC, was not Dhaxem, but:

# **“CHEMOTHERAPY!”**

The question appears to be, why have they targeted Dr Cathar, who has not worked as a healer for a decade? And a possible answer was once more offered in the original “scary” broadcast which made sure to mention that she was:

## **“SLOVAKIAN BORN!”**

Instead of working as a healer, since 2005 Dr Cathar had worked as a linguist, and was very concerned about the deaths of two Slovaks connected to the Gloucester Royal Hospital, (and to a possibly failed language contract), namely a Gejza G who died in October 2010, and a Mr “B” who died in December 2011. Gejza G died of heart failure whilst he believed he only ought to take the antibiotics he was given, after Dr Cathar felt driven out of the hospital by a nurse when she tried to interpret for Gejza during an emergency appointment. Mr “B” felt abused by a social worker and by Dasha Suchankova, a Gloucester City Council imposed interpreter for children and vulnerable people, possibly without a CRB, who did not speak his language, but may have been the social worker's friend. Mr “B” died of self-harm.

Dr Cathar never charged anyone £280 for healing. Her results with animals, if similarly achieved with people, could not have a price put on it.

In addition to the dog Sophie healed of cancer in 2003, Dr Cathar prevented the outbreak of cancer in at least three other dogs. In a more recent case of June 2013 the vet found any mutations undetectable during previous two visits, but it had been subsequently confirmed that the tissue which has been removed was a tumour with a well demarked edge. Dr Cathar previously preventively treated that dog for cancer for about a year. The dog is 14 years old, and continues to be exceptionally fit and healthy for its age. Four of her dogs died since 2007 (after the loss of Sophie), aged 14 and a half to 17. They all had on and off cancer treatment to prevent cancer, and none developed cancer; the youngest, 14 and a half, died of heart failure and the other three of old age. While fifty percent of dogs over the age of 10 develop cancer at some point, according to

<http://pets.webmd.com/dogs/guide/dogs-and-cancer-get-the-facts>, Dr Cathar's mentioned 4 late dogs and the other four she still has, who now fall into the age category of 10 years old and older, they all are cancer free. Statistically speaking, it would have been expected that up to 4 of Dr Cathar's dogs over ten would have developed cancer. Instead, all eight were in each case described as in "exceptionally good condition".

Dr Cathar has not accepted a single new human client for about 10 years. She sent several people away. Dr Cathar dedicated herself almost entirely to spiritual tuition with occasional consultations regarding a person's Soul. She realised that people can only heal themselves, and this was and continues to be clearly stipulated on her website. See "On Cancer" on [www.dhaxem.com](http://www.dhaxem.com)

### **The film lied about Dr Cathar offering cancer treatments.**

The fact that Dr Cathar does detect cancer before it starts developing and can treat and even heal it, she believes, is documented by her work with dogs since 2003.

Alastair McKee asked Prof Bunce where he believes Chris Geiger may be one year on if following Dr Cathar's advice. (Even if you did not see the film, surely you can guess what the answer had been.)

As the most important condition to good health, Dr Cathar advised Geiger to only nurture a best possible intent towards everyone – and he stabbed her in the back.

### **But Dr Cathar is happy that healing cancer is illegal.**

She is delighted to limit her healing to animals, who, in her opinion, have a heart and possibly also a conscience.

Dr Cathar dedicated her own resources to promoting The Cathar Testament, and she wrote articles based on her experience as a healer to encourage spiritual self-awareness. The information is free to anyone on the dhaxem website.

She never gave anyone a "cancer diet", because there is no such thing.

During the same period as she was attacked by Inside Out, someone interfered with her personal data at PayPal. She received worrying advice from a number withheld, telling her that she must view her account, and the caller stated to be from PayPal. PayPal denied 15.01.13 that they would have contacted Dr Cathar. That account is confirmed by PayPal as having been closed down in 2010.

Friends of Dr Cathar were concerned that the way the BBC film had been presented invited attacks on Dr Cathar, and on her property, by individuals stirred up by the emotive negative nature of the film, while access and several close up views of the house were unduly shown.

An attack on Dr Cathar property took place on 15<sup>th</sup> January, the following evening after the film was shown. Dr Cathar believes that the responsibility for the vandalism to her

property lies with the BBC. The makers should be prosecuted.

Dr Cathar believes that the purpose of the lie about an alleged cancer patient dying during a treatment was to encourage an angry mob to attack her and to kill her. Only individuals certain there is no God could have made this film.

Explicit calls to kill her, and other invitations to extreme violence against her were published on "This is Gloucestershire", which is the internet version of the local papers, and this was left unedited on the internet. Here are two examples (extracted 09.02.2013):



by Jewbacca

Monday, January 14 2013, 8:36PM

Kill her with fire, it's the only sure way to know she won't ruin anybody else."



by Hubert1841

Monday, January 14 2013, 5:40PM

"They should take this maniac to the coast near a cliff, and tip her off. Cancer, an experience. I'd like to smack her in the chops for that.

Seeing the comments in This is Gloucestershire on 09.02.2013, Dr Cathar is sorry that people are hurting themselves by carrying inside them such hate.

**Despite the fact that the BBC could not issue a statement denying that the making of the programme included illegal methods, to date no-one was prosecuted for enticing extreme violence against Dr Cathar by lies in the broadcast on 14.01.2013. Those responsible left Justice to God and Dr Cathar has faith in Him.**

This is Gloucestershire readers' comments give an indication of the IQ required in order to have "bought the story". People Dr Cathar had worked with, but has not been in touch for 10 years and longer contacted her following the film to show their moral and emotional support and some expressed gratitude for having helped them.

**Dr Cathar believes that the purpose of the lies was to destroy her, and to discredit her as a witness in a possible investigation into the two deaths and into the language contracts.**

The following Sunday after the film, Dr Cathar became subject to a further filmed provocation in which a large black dog was remotely controlled to interfere with her dogs in a field where they walked. The black dog particularly scared a small disabled Jack Russell owned by Dr Cathar. The dog followed Dr Cathar and her dogs out of the field to the gates of their home. The dog then continued along the street and disappeared in a car parked on the far end. That car then immediately drove off. The dog was the only innocent party to this dirty game.

Pure lives do not show in whether one swears at naughty dogs or not, but in actions and intent towards others, including dogs, who do not get hurt by possible words of a criminally harassed owner. Only people who cannot imagine pure actions can consider sounds to be a measure of purity.

Pity, the same cameras have not caught the vandals set on Dr Cathar, and have not reported them to Dr Cathar and to the police, or even better, have not shown them on tv.



Dr Cathar hopes that the Doberman owner met up with whoever he was waiting for while loitering in the field near Dr Cathar, the next day.

Dr Cathar believes that those responsible for law and order may have encouraged the film, and are possibly further encouraging her victimisation. (Later added: In 2017, the police launched an investigation into a comment that Dr Cathar has made in an e-mail regarding the cause of a young person's mental illness. The case was based on a call to police emergency services. It dragged on for weeks; during this time PC Michelle Openshaw of Gloucestershire Constabulary bullied and threatened Dr Cathar from an un-monitored phone number.)

Any such comments as on This is Gloucestershire, as above, against Dr Cathar, if made against a black person, would not have stayed on, and the commentators would have been arrested.

Dr Cathar believes that authorities notably in Gloucestershire, entice violence and hate against innocent and law abiding people with any sympathetic connection to Slovakia, and this film and the comments left unedited for an extended period of time despite laws against enticing violence, which would not be tolerated in the UK against anyone else, seem to present a proof.

15<sup>th</sup> January 2013.

The film made an issue out of Dr Cathar having changed her name. The document to which link is provided on page 10 explains that she felt forced to change her name after others claimed to be her to take her work, while discrediting her good name as a professional.

Dr Cathar is proud to have had Dagmar Ebster-Grosz for her "real name" from her parents.

Document version of 08.11.2018

### Dr Cathar concerns about Prof Bunce focuses on that:

1. He undertook to comment in a manner which would destroy a person, their position and their reputation without asking for a single proof of the allegations. In fact, he did not need a single proof of whether the practice he seemed keen to comment on is at all in business, before proceeding to condemn a person alleged to be running it.
2. He condemned a person on evidence by a paid agent provocateur – which is unacceptable, because it can artificially produce a false image about something that may not be taking place under normal circumstances. It is not evidence; it is applying possible criminal means to maliciously harming a person who may be innocent.
3. He misinterpreted information he held in his hands (a recommended diet sheet for one month marked to a person, but not to a diagnosis and not claiming to be a cure) with the apparent view to harming a person who was not given the opportunity to defend themselves, or to comment. It had been made to sound as if the sheet was presented as a finite alleged cancer cure, and the false notion was passed on to the media supported by his name together with the names of UK and international institutions connected in the film and in the media to the Professor.
4. He condemned an approach by applying criteria irrelevant to that approach. (Concerns raised included not giving advice in line with a lie presented by a sufferer who seemingly believed

that he could not have cancer, and not taking into account an x-ray carried around by the apparent self-confessed cancer cheat, Chris Geiger.)

5. The possible relevance for humans of a result obtained by applying the approach with an animal had been ridiculed. By referring to the approach, it had effectively been implied that results achieved with animals are irrelevant and even dangerous to people.
6. His evaluation of the alleged practice seems to have culminated by him commenting on cabbage while using the words “very dangerous”. The Professor is someone who seemed to have suggested, and therefore presumably considered safe, the possible use of an antidepressant, not for depression, but as a cancer treatment.
7. He emphatically condemned Dr Cathar for suggesting to Geiger that cabbage juice (which she sees in the first instance as a source of Vitamin C of low acidity, in addition to its other properties) beats (his) cancer, while it had been implied that in the English language to “beat cancer” means “curing cancer”, which was a particularly malicious misrepresentation of the language. At around the same time papers claimed that metformin “beats cancer” and that testing “beats cancer”.

The message to a lay person from these pieces of information, if put together would be, that the Professor finds eating antidepressants to be safer, than consuming cabbage.

8. The Professor was shown a filmed recording of a two hour consultation that emphasised the principle that the body will reflect the person inside and that therefore, nurturing only pure thoughts and having at all times the best possible intent towards everyone, is the best and the only way to regaining and maintaining good health. The reporter asked Prof Bunce what he thinks of what he saw and the professor said he finds it “scary”.
9. When the reporter asked Prof Bunce what he thinks where Geiger would be, if he followed Dr Cathar advice, the Professor did not seem to deliberate too long before bringing up the possibility that Geiger could well be dead. This was an unfounded malicious conjecture on part of the Professor.



In 2011, Geiger got the “Columnist of the Year” award for his “light-hearted” stories about other people’s cancer

- and -

His cancer con had been entered into the Guinness Book of Records as a most published article by a single author in one day.

The Guinness Book Administrators, who were informed of the con, indicated, that whether the story is genuine or not, is irrelevant. The award is for the number of outlets that published the contents. The fact that the cancer lobby managed to get something published in more outlets than anyone else is but a reflection of its power in the society.



Prior to Dhaxem, Dr Cathar used several popular methods of healing, including Reiki:

www.thisisgloucestershire.co.uk

Body & Soul, November 21, 2000

11

Ancient art that harnesses our natural energy

# Do healing hands hold the answers?

**I**lluminated by a flickering candle, embraced by the soothing sound of music, people from all walks of life come together to practise and learn an ancient healing art.

Forgotten for centuries, this is Reiki and it could be just what the doctor ordered for the stresses and strains of modern living.

Originating from Asia, it is a form of healing where energy is channelled from one person to another through the hands.

Claimed to have a positive effect on many conditions from bee stings to chronic illness, it has only become widely available in the past decade.

Reiki teacher and practitioner Dr Dagmar Ebster-Grosz PhD runs an Understanding Reiki Workshop in Cheltenham where visitors can see how it works.

After introductions, an explanation of Reiki and 10 minutes of meditation and relaxation, group members of all levels demonstrate their skills.

Volunteers lie on a table while the group take turns giving a 20-minute Reiki session.

Participants are first asked if they object to physical contact, much of which is on the feet, before being told to relax.

Depending on how many people are at the meeting, two or three people surround the person on the table.

With soothing music as the only sound in the room, the practitioners pass their hands over and above the volunteer's body. As they move some people feel immediate and intense heat in the corresponding area before a gentle sense of well-being and relaxation pervades every pore.

Practitioners hold their hands a few inches from the body and head, changing position every few minutes. They simultaneously work on the feet, gently massaging each toe before moving up the ankle.

Dr Ebster-Grosz, who took up Reiki teaching and

*Never heard of Reiki? A Cheltenham workshop is being run to introduce you to this ancient form of healing. Lystra Maisey found out more*

practice full time in 1996, said: "The workshop is a way of introducing new people to Reiki and also provides continual training for those who are learning for themselves."

"The ever present natural healing energies around us are focused into the therapist's hands where they are channelled into the recipient."

Illness, fatigue and stress can restrict the flow of energy inside the body, which Reiki can help to release.

Following the session visitors can ask questions. Dr Ebster-Grosz added: "There is a danger that all too often it is presented as a hands-on technique that will make one feel better. There is so much more to it than this."


In a full session, lasting at least an hour, the treatment is much more thorough.

"It's like comparing a three course meal with trying something out at a buffet. The main benefit of the workshop is to allow those initiated in Reiki to practise and let visitors see how it works."

Dr Ebster-Grosz, who has written *The Nature of Reiki*, (Green Life, £10.95), combines methods of healing with the use of essential oils, crystals and herbal teas.

She offers private consultations at the Reiki Centre in New Barn Avenue and also runs a monthly Reiki meeting.

The workshop is held on the second Wednesday of the month at Parmoor House in Lyptott Road and costs £4. For more details call 01242 580287.



Dr Dagmar Ebster-Grosz uses Reiki on Justin Alderman

## Effects were just amazing

203557A/13A



Justin Alderman, 31, a carpet cleaner from Cheltenham, has had at least 10 treatments.

"I was sceptical at first but my friend mentioned how effective it was. I have never done anything like this before.

"I'm not one of those people who are really into this kind of thing but the effects were amazing. I was interested in my general well-being, it's not that I was ill.

"My arm and leg go completely numb during treatment. There is nothing wrong with them but it is a strange feeling.

"I am quite an agitated person as a rule but much less so than when I started the treatment three

203557A/7A



Karen Chater, 32, has been having Reiki for about six months.

"Reiki is the best thing I have ever done.

"I began going while being treated for allergies," said the insurance underwriter from Tuffley.

"Because of the allergy I felt generally unwell and nothing seemed to help.

"I was very sceptical but really surprised at how much better I felt and the sense of well-being I had.

"Since I started my attitudes have changed and my way of seeing things is different.

"I feel able to cope better and am more aware of

203557A/1A



Terry Butler, from Hucclecote, is a drugs and alcohol counsellor.

Mr Butler, 62, had looked into spiritual healing about four years ago.

He said: "My partner is a reflexologist and mentioned Reiki so I became involved but it wasn't really a conscious decision.

"Since I started I have experienced big changes in my life including changing my career. I now have a different perception of other people. I am less judgmental, and feel people are only trying to do their best for themselves.

"I have become more realistic, and am not so afraid of things any more."



Jane Maisey is in her late forties and lives in Arie Avenue, Cheltenham.

She said: "Reiki has transformed my life. I have suffered from high blood pressure for 30 years and have had all kinds of treatment including beta-blockers.

"I began having Reiki to see if it would help. I heard it was very relaxing and thought it may benefit me. Nothing else seemed to be helping.

"After I began having treatments I couldn't believe it, my blood pressure was normal for the first time in 30 years.

"I feel more relaxed but have a lot more energy now my blood pressure is

## REIKI FACTFILE

■ Reiki (pronounced ray-key) is a generic word in Japan and is used to describe many types of healing and spiritual work.

■ The *ki* part is the same word as Chi or Qi, the Chinese word for the Universal Life Force energy which underlies everything.

■ It is thought to have originated around ancient China and Tibet and disappeared for centuries until discovered by a Christian boy's school teacher Dr Mikao Usui in the late 1800s.

■ If you have a medical condition it is recommended you see a conventional doctor in addition to Reiki.

■ Because Reiki is an art rather than a technique, each master and practitioner has a unique style.

■ There are more than 50,000 Reiki masters and one million

practitioners worldwide.

■ Children can learn Reiki while plants and animals can also benefit.

■ The ability to learn Reiki is transferred from teacher to student by a process called attunement.

■ During the attunement the Reiki master systematically works around the body and may use one or more special breathing techniques.


■ Once you have received the attunement you can treat yourself and others.

■ Reiki is practised and taught in three separate but corresponding stages.

■ Stage I concentrates on the physical, Stage II on the emotional and mental state, while the final stage focuses on the spiritual or soul.



In 2015-2016, Geiger advertised “cancer causing foods” for breakfast, as a “perfect start to the week” and for empty stomachs on his Twitter aimed at cancer patients and with GBBO in 2017:



A study published in the journal Cancer Epidemiology, Mile Markers and Prevention, is presenting evidence of the link between the consumption of refined carbohydrates and cancer:

[http://www.naturalnews.com/001812\\_cancer\\_prevention.html#ixzz3qMMHvdoO](http://www.naturalnews.com/001812_cancer_prevention.html#ixzz3qMMHvdoO)



Mr Chris Geiger @Chris\_Geiger · 6h

No real surprises. we've all known for years that sugar isn't good for us!  
Everything in moderation.... #NHS #TCSC

Geiger also placed the above entry in amongst his sugar tweets. Dr Cathar believes that Geiger’s conduct displays the signs of a sick mind. (Underlined Dr Cathar.)

A 2015 study at the University of Texas Anderson Cancer Center found a direct link between sugar and breast cancer:

<http://www.yourtango.com/2016284779/sugar-causes-breast-cancer-according-to-brand-new-study>

[www.cathar.org](http://www.cathar.org)